

Solitons on Noncommutative Torus as Elliptic Algebras and Elliptic Models

Bo-Yu Hou ^{*}, Dan-Tao Peng [†], Kang-Jie Shi [‡], Rui-Hong Yue [§]

Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University
Xi'an, 710069, China

Abstract

For the noncommutative torus $\mathcal{T}$, in case of the N.C. parameter $\theta = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n}$ and the area of $\mathcal{T}$ is an integer, we construct the basis of Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n$ in terms of θ functions of the positions z_i of n solitons. The loop wrapping around the torus generates the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$. We show that $\mathcal{A}_n$ is isomorphic to the $Z_n \times Z_n$ Heisenberg group on θ functions. We find the explicit form for the local operators, which is the generators g of an elliptic $su(n)$, and transforms covariantly by the global gauge transformation of the Wilson loop in $\mathcal{A}_n$. By acting on $\mathcal{H}_n$ we establish the isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n$ and g . Then it is easy to give the projection operators corresponding to the solitons and the ABS construction for generating solitons. We embed this g into the L -matrix of the elliptic Gaudin and C.M. models to give the dynamics. For θ generic case, we introduce the crossing parameter η related with θ and the modulus of $\mathcal{T}$. The dynamics of solitons is determined by the transfer matrix T of the elliptic quantum group $\mathcal{A}_{\tau,\eta}$, equivalently by the elliptic Ruijsenaars operators M . The eigenfunctions of T found by Bethe ansatz appears to be twisted by η .

*PACS:*11.90.+t, 11.25.-w

Keywords: noncommutative torus, Sklyanin algebra, elliptic quantum group, Gaudin model, Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, Calogero-Moser model, IRF model.

1 Introduction

The development of soliton theory on noncommutative geometry is rather impressive (details of reference e.g. see review [1, 2]). The paper [3] gives the solitons expressed by the projection operators. Witten [4] gives the partial isometry operators. The paper [5] uses the partial isometry operators to generate the solitons on noncommutative plane.

^{*}Email:byhou@phy.nwu.edu.cn

[†]Email:dtpeng@phy.nwu.edu.cn

[‡]Email:kjshi@phy.nwu.edu.cn

[§]Email:yue@phy.nwu.edu.cn

Recently, the solitons on the N.C. torus has attracted a lot of interest [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. On the compactified torus [14], the duality, the Morita equivalence and the orbifolding have been studied [15].

The equivalence class of projection operator on torus is given by [16] in terms of U_1 and U_2 . The noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by U_i ($U_1 U_2 = U_2 U_1 e^{i\theta}$) wrapping the torus has been given in [14, 15, 17] in terms of the matrix difference operator. The matrix acts on a $U(n)$ bundle V_n with trivial connection while the difference acts on a $U(1)$ bundle $\mathcal{L}$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = V_n \otimes \mathcal{L}$ acted by $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the vector functions of *real* variables. The local covariant derivative operator ∇_i acted on $\mathcal{L}$ is given also. Their commutator gives the constant curvature related to θ . Obviously the periodicity of wave functions on torus can not be given explicitly by real variable functions. The Schwarz space of smooth functions $S(\mathbf{R})$ of rapid decrease real functions provides a bimodule between $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ under Morita equivalence [8, 12]. Starting from the Gaussian function $e^{i\pi y^2/\theta}$ as the Schwarz function, Boca [9] constructed the projection operators in terms of θ functions. This projection operator satisfies the BPS like selfduality condition [6, 18], thus gives constant curvature. But Boca obtained the explicit expressions only for the case with modulus equals $\frac{1}{2}$, moreover, it is in terms of the products of two θ functions depends separately on U_1 and U_2 , so the symplectic structure of noncommutative torus is unclear. Gopakumar et al [10] starting from the same Gaussian function but orbifolding the double periodic multisoliton solution on the N.C. $\mathbf{R}^2$ into a single soliton solution on the torus. They employed the kq representation [19, 20] on a dual lattices for conjugate variables k and q , which provides a basis of simultaneous eigenstates of commutative U_1 and U_2 with $\theta = 2\pi A$, where A is an integer. Thus they succeeded in constructing the soliton on the so-called integral torus with double periodic wave functions. The noncommutative symplectic complex structure on torus appears explicitly and the corresponding Weyl-Moyal transformation is realized as double series of $U_1^{\frac{1}{A}}$ and $U_2^{\frac{1}{A}}$. But since the loop U_1 and U_2 become commutative in this degenerated $\theta = 2\pi A (e^{i\theta} = 1)$ case, they obtained just a unique projection operator, there is neither the covariant derivative corresponding to the local translation nor the ABS like partial isometry operator. Moreover, it is not obvious how to generalize into the case of generic θ .

This paper is just attempted at first to give explicitly more projection operators, the local derivative operators E_α and the soliton generating ABS operators by orbifolding such integral torus $\mathcal{T}$ into a $\mathcal{T}_n$; secondly to realize the noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in case of generic θ explicitly on a Hilbert space of meromorphic functions. We try to relate various algebra on noncommutative torus, the $Z_n \times Z_n$ Heisenberg algebra with the elliptic $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ algebra and the elliptic Sklyanin algebra with the elliptic quantum group, then furthermore consider the dynamics of the noncommutative solitons by relate it to the well known integrable models such as the elliptic Gaudin models with the elliptic Calogera-Moser models and the elliptic IRF(interaction around face) models with the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider models.

In the next section, after review the construction by Gopakumar et. al [10] for the soliton on the integral torus $\mathcal{T}$, we show by $n \times n$ times orbifolding this $\mathcal{T}$ into $\mathcal{T}_n$, that the one dimensional trivial Heisenberg group i.e. the double periodicity under wrapping by U_i on $\mathcal{T}$

in paper [10] will be refined into a $Z_n \times Z_n$ $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ generated by loops $W_i (\equiv U_i^{\frac{1}{n}})$ around $\mathcal{T}_n$.

In section 3, we use the θ functions of the central "positions" z_i of multisolitons to give an explicit expression for the basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n$. The $\mathcal{A}_n$ algebra turns to be the $Z_n \times Z_n$ Heisenberg group $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ acting on θ functions [23]. The local infinitesimal translation operator E_α is realized as the derivative operator generating the $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ algebra on torus. The E_α behaves covariantly under gauge transformation by $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$. We establish the isomorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ and the $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ by applying them on $\mathcal{H}_n$, they both become identical to the $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$. Then it is easy to construct the ABS and the projection operators corresponding to multiple solitons.

Later, in section 4, we embed this $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ derivative operator as the "quantum" operators in the representation of the transfer matrix (Lax operator) of the elliptic Gaudin model i.e. $L(u)$ is obtained from the classical Yang-Baxter r -matrix $r(u) = \sum_\alpha w_\alpha(u) I_\alpha \otimes I_\alpha$ acted on $V_n \otimes V_n$ space by replacing one V_n into a "quantum" space, i.e. replacing the Heisenberg matrix I_α on it by the $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ operator E_α . The r -matrix is nondynamic. It depends on the spectral (evaluation) parameter (u) through the meromorphic sections $w_\alpha(u)$. The double Heisenberg properties of $w_\alpha(u)$ and hence of $r(u)$ ensures $L(u)$ to be a section on a twisted $su(n)$ bundle. Then we gauge transform the Gaudin Lax into that of the elliptic Calogero-Moser models. This Lax is equivalent to the elliptic Dunkle operators representing Weyl reflections. The trace of the quadratic of L gives the Hamiltonian of the C. M. which is assumed to give the dynamics of the N.C. solitons on the brane. As in section 3 we have shown that the isomorphism of N.C. z_i and $\bar{z}_i$ to the z_i and local translation ∂_i on $\mathcal{H}$ in N.C. $\mathcal{R}^2$ case, under orbifold $\mathcal{R}^2/Z \otimes Z$ becomes the isomorphism of the $Z_n \times Z_n$ Heisenberg W^α in $\mathcal{A}_n$ to the E_α of $su_n(\mathcal{T})$. So the correspondence of noncommutative $\partial_i (\sim \bar{z}_i)$ and z_i in E_α to dynamical p_i and q_i in $L(u)$, naturally endow the N.C. torus with symplectic structure. Thus naturally the solitons on branes satisfy the dynamics of Calogero-Moser systems.

Then we turn to the case of generic θ . Here, at first in section 5, we find that, the difference representations of the Sklyanin algebra, where the difference operation is to shift the solitons position z_i by η , will give a constant Heisenberg matrix on the functions in V_n introduced in section 3. The characteristic determinant of this operator gives the Ruijsenaars operators. Since the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ approaches the C.M. model, it is reasonable to assume that the dynamics of the soliton on θ generic torus is given by using these Ruijsenaars operators as the Hamiltonian.

But this Sklyanin algebra on the vector space V_n gives only the constant matrix part of the trivial $su(n)$ bundle. It is not sufficient to show the whole $su(n) \otimes u(1)$ operations as [14, 15]. So in section 6 we realize the full algebra as the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau, \eta}$ constructed by Felder. It is well known that the $E_{\tau, \eta}$ is equivalent to a $\mathcal{S}_{\tau, \eta}$, their evaluation representations is related to the elliptic IRF models. At first we give a factorized form of this representation, which may be gauge transformed into the Sklyanin algebra and further we relate its transfer matrix to the Ruijsenaars operators M . These representations of $\mathcal{S}_{\tau, \eta}$ are the to be found matrix difference operators which realize the corresponding operators given by [14, 15], but instead of acting on real vector functions, now it acts on meromorphic vector

sections. Then as Felder and Varchenko [21], using the matrix difference operator M to represent the transfer matrix, we apply the Bethe ansatz to find the common eigenfunctions which actually twisted by η for N.C. U_i and realizes the Hilbert space [14, 15] in the form of the vector meromorphic sections (sheafs).

In the last section, we shortly describe the subjects which will be investigated later.

2 Solitons on the "integral torus" [10] and its further orbifolding

In this section we at first shortly review the result of paper [10] for solitons on noncommutative space $\mathbf{R}^2$: $[\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = i\Theta$. This $\mathbf{R}^2$ has been orbifolded to a torus $\mathcal{T}$ with periodicities L and τL .

The generators of the fundamental group of $\mathcal{T}$ are:

$$U_1 = e^{-i\hat{y}^2 l}, \quad U_2 = e^{il(\tau_2 \hat{y}^1 - \tau_1 \hat{y}^2)}, \quad (1)$$

where l is the normalized length $l = L\sqrt{\Theta}$, $\hat{y}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Theta}}\hat{x}^i$, ($i = 1, 2$) and

$$U_1 U_2 = U_2 U_1 e^{-i\tau_2 l^2} \quad (2)$$

As in [10], let us consider the case $\frac{\tau_2 l^2}{2\pi} \in \mathbf{N}$ (or $\mathbf{Z}_+$) i.e. the normalized area $A = \frac{\tau_2 l^2}{2\pi} = B$ the flux passing through the torus is an integer. The projection operators constructed by [10] has its image spanned by the lattice of coherent states

$$U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |0\rangle, (j_1, j_2 \in \mathbf{Z}^2) \quad (3)$$

here $|0\rangle$ is the Fock Bargmann vacuum vector: $a|0\rangle = 0$, where $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{y}^1 + i\hat{y}^2)$, $a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{y}^1 - i\hat{y}^2)$, $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$. They found a particular linear combination:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2} c_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |0\rangle \quad (4)$$

that satisfies

$$\langle\psi|U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2}|\psi\rangle = \delta_{j_1 0} \delta_{j_2 0}. \quad (5)$$

Then the projection operator becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1} \\ P^2 &= P. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since (5), we have $U_i P U_i^{-1} = P$, ($i = 1, 2$), i.e. P actually acts on $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}}$ with periods U_i .

To find the solution satisfying the orthonormality condition (5), the wave function of (4) has been found [10] in terms of the kq representation [19, 20]:

$$|kq\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{l}{2\pi}} e^{-i\tau_1(\hat{y}^2)^2/2\tau_2} \sum_j e^{ijlk} |q + jl\rangle. \quad (7)$$

where $|q\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $\hat{y}^1$: $\hat{y}^1|q\rangle = q|q\rangle$, such that

$$e^{il\tau_2\hat{y}^1}|q\rangle = e^{ilq\tau_2}|q\rangle, \quad e^{-il\hat{y}^2}|q\rangle = |q + l\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Obviously, in case A being an integer, $|kq\rangle$ is the common eigenstate of U_1 and U_2 :

$$U_1|kq\rangle = e^{-ilk}|kq\rangle, \quad U_2|kq\rangle = e^{il\tau_2q}|kq\rangle. \quad (9)$$

Remarks: From the completeness of the coherent states [22], we know that $|kq\rangle$ is defined in the double periods: $0 \leq k \leq \frac{2\pi}{l}, 0 \leq q \leq l$, and constitute an orthonormal and complete basis for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}^2}/A$, if $A \geq 2$. In case of $A = 1$, if we choose just one coherent state for any unit cell, then all the state excluding the vacuum state maybe taken as a complete and minimal system of state. Bars [13] recently has discussed the solutions with size smaller than this extremal one.

By using $|q\rangle = \exp(\frac{(a^\dagger)^2}{2} + a^\dagger q + \frac{q^2}{2})|0\rangle$, we find the wave function

$$\langle kq|0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{l}{2\pi}} e^{\frac{\tau}{2i\tau_2}q^2} \theta\left(\frac{(k+iq)A}{\tau l}, \frac{A}{\tau}\right), \quad (10)$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$. Then by modular transformation of (10) or directly from (7) by using the Poisson resummation formula we obtain as in [10]:

$$C_0(k, q) \equiv \langle kq|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{l}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2i\tau_2}k^2 + ikq\right) \theta_{00}\left(\frac{q + k\tau/\tau_2}{l}, \frac{\tau}{A}\right). \quad (11)$$

The orthonormality condition (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{j_1,0}\delta_{j_2,0} &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^l dq e^{-ij_1lk + ij_2l\tau_2q} |\Psi(k, q)|^2 \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} dq e^{-ij_1lk + ij_2l\tau_2q} |\tilde{c}(k, q)|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} \left| C_0\left(k, q + n\frac{l}{A}\right) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus, paper [10] find that

$$\langle kq|\psi\rangle \equiv \Psi(k, q) = \tilde{c}(k, q) C_0(k, q) = \frac{C_0(k, q)}{\sqrt{2\pi/A \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + nl/A)|^2}}. \quad (13)$$

Now we turn to the further orbifolding. Let $W_1 = U_1^{\frac{1}{n}}$, then

$$C_1(k, q) \equiv \langle kq|W_1|0\rangle = \langle k, q + \frac{l}{n}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{l}} \exp(-\frac{\tau k^2}{2i\tau_2} + ik(q + \frac{l}{n}))\theta_{0, \frac{1}{n}}(\frac{q + \frac{k\tau}{\tau_2}}{l}, \frac{\tau}{A}), \quad (14)$$

here we have chosen A and n relatively prime.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta(k, q) \equiv \langle kq|W_1^\beta|0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{l}} \exp(-\frac{\tau k^2}{2i\tau_2} + ik(q + \frac{\beta l}{n}))\theta_{0,0}(\frac{q + \frac{k\tau}{\tau_2}}{l} + \frac{\beta}{n}, \frac{\tau}{A}) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{l}} \exp(-\frac{\tau k^2}{2i\tau_2} + ik(q + \frac{\beta l}{n}))\theta_{0, \frac{\beta}{n}}(z, \frac{\tau}{A}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

here $z \equiv y_1 + iy_2$, the map k, q to z is given [10] by the Weyl Moyal transformation. The effect of W_1 is to shift z to $z + \frac{1}{n}$, or equivalently shift the characteristic β of $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$ to $\beta + \frac{1}{n}$.

Constructing

$$\langle kq|\Psi_\beta\rangle = \frac{C_\beta(k, q)}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_\beta(k, q + n\frac{l}{A})|^2}}, \quad (16)$$

then $|\Psi_{\beta+n}\rangle = e^{-ilk}|\Psi_\beta\rangle$ and $|\Psi_\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) are linearly independent.

Let

$$P_\beta = \sum_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\Psi_\beta\rangle \langle \Psi_\beta| U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1}, \quad (17)$$

then

$$P_\beta^2 = P_\beta \quad (18)$$

$$W_1^{\beta_1} P_{\beta_2} W_1^{-\beta_1} = P_{\beta_1 + \beta_2}. \quad (19)$$

As in paper [15], We may also orbifold the torus along the $\vec{\tau}$ direction n times. Let $W_2 = U_2^{\frac{1}{n}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C'_\alpha(k, q) &\equiv \langle kq|W_2^\alpha|0\rangle = e^{i\frac{\alpha}{n}l\tau_2 q} \langle k + \frac{\tau_2 l}{n}\alpha, q|0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{l}} \exp(-\frac{\tau k^2}{2i\tau_2} + i(k + \frac{\alpha}{n}l\tau_2)q)\theta_{\frac{\alpha}{n}, 0}(\frac{q + \frac{k\tau}{\tau_2}}{l}, \frac{\tau}{A}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Obviously, W_2 shifts z to $z + \frac{\tau}{n}$, i.e. shift the α of $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Subsequently, we may construct $|\psi'_\alpha\rangle$ and P'_α as (16) and (17). But the set P'_α , ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are not independent from the set P_β , ($\beta = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and in either basis the W_1 or(and) W_2 matrix are not constant. Actually, the target space $\mathcal{H}_T$ of P on total torus $\mathcal{T}$ has been subdivided into $\mathcal{H}_n$ on torus $\mathcal{T}_n$ as described by [15]. One may find a basis of $\mathcal{H}_n$ such that W_1 and W_2 become the $n \times n$ irreducible matrix representation of the Heisenberg group

$$W_1 W_2 = W_2 W_1 \omega, \quad \omega^n = 1, \quad W_1^n = W_2^n = 1. \quad (21)$$

In next section we will construct explicitly this basis in terms of $\theta_{\alpha\beta}$ functions. But before that, let us compare to the case of N.C. plane, that the generic n solitons solution is $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^\dagger - z_i)|0\rangle$, with n soliton centers z_i . Similarly, we will introduce the z_i for the location of the centers of n -solitons solutions on the torus $\mathcal{T}$, such that the moduli space of the n -soliton solution is $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}/S_n$.

3 Noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n$, Heisenberg group $Z_n \times Z_n$ and $su(n)$ algebra, in case $[U_1, U_2] = 0$

3.1 The Hilbert space

As in [15], usually the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ can be written as the direct product of a $su(n)$ trivial bundle V_n and an $U(1)$ line bundle $\mathcal{L}$: $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} = V_n \otimes \mathcal{L}$. On the V_n acts the W_1 and W_2 matrices, on the $\mathcal{L}$ acts the covariant difference operators $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_i$ (the notation of [14]), and $W_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{V}}_i = U_i$. Thus, the operators U_i are matrix difference operators acting on vector functions $v_a, (a = 1, 2, \dots, n)$. But now it happens that in case of commutative U_1 and U_2 , this V_n is identical to the whole $\mathcal{H}_n$ on subtorus $\mathcal{T}_n$ in the following way.

The basis vectors of the *Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}_n$ are

$$\begin{aligned} v_a &= \sum_{b=1}^n F_{-a,b}, (a = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ F_\alpha &\equiv F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = e^{i\pi n \alpha_2} \prod_{j=1}^n \sigma_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(z_j - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

here $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Z_n \times Z_n$, and

$$\sigma_\alpha(z) = \theta \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n} \end{array} \right] (z, \tau).$$

(In the following, we use the modulus τ to represent the $\frac{\tau}{A}$ in section 2).

Remark: The Ψ (13) has been normalized, but it is not entire, i.e. it has poles and is nonanalytic. Our basis v_a is entire, they span the space of weight n quasiperiodic functions [23], i.e. the space of n soliton sections of some $su(n)$ bundle.

Now we turn to show that the $W_1^{\alpha_1}, W_2^{\alpha_2}$ with $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Z_n \times Z_n$ acting on this $\mathcal{H}_n$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ on $\mathcal{T}_n$.

3.2 Noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ on fuzzy torus $\mathcal{T}$ as the Heisenberg Weyl group $Z_n \times Z_n$

From section 2 we know that the effects of the noncommutative Wilson loop [24, 25] $W_1 = U_1^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $W_2 = (U_2^{\frac{1}{n}})$ on the i -th soliton is to translate its position, from z_i to $(z_i + \frac{1}{n}\tau - \delta_{in}\tau)$ and $(z_i + \frac{1}{n} - \delta_{in})$ respectively, or equivalently shift all z_i by $\frac{1}{n}$ or $\frac{\tau}{n}$ mod the torus $\mathcal{T}$, furthermore

equivalent to shift the coordinate origin u by $\frac{1}{n}$ of $\frac{\tau}{n}$ in *opposite direction*. Substituting in (22), we find

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} T_{\frac{\tau}{n}}^{(i)} \right) T_{\frac{\tau}{n}-\tau}^{(n)} v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad (23)$$

$$= v_{a-1}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = W_1 v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (24)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_2 v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) = v_a\left(z_1 + \frac{1}{n}, \dots, z_n + \frac{1}{n} - 1\right) \quad (25)$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{n}} v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n) = W_2 v_a(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (26)$$

where

$$T_{a\tau}^{(i)} f(z) \equiv e^{\pi i a^2 \tau + 2\pi i a z_i} f(z_1, \dots, z_i + a\tau, \dots, z_n). \quad (27)$$

Using basis v_a (22), we have transform the action of the N.C. Wilson loop of $\mathcal{T}_n$ from the shift in functional space form (23) and (25) into the matrix operator form (24) and (26), i.e.

$$(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1)_{ab} = \delta_{a+1,b}; \quad (\hat{\mathbf{V}}_2)_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \omega^a, \quad \omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} = e^{i\theta_n} \quad (28)$$

As expected this $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_2$ satisfy the relations

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2 = 1, \quad \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^n = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2^n = 1, \quad \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2 = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2 \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1 e^{2\pi i \theta_n}, \quad (29)$$

here $\theta_n = \frac{1}{n}$ is the noncommutative parameter for the n 2-branes [15].

Remark: There are some subtle and crucial points in our paper which usually cause confusion. It seem worth to be stressed and clarified here. Originally for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on total $\mathcal{T}$ we have the commuting U_1 and U_2 with $\theta = 2\pi Z$. After orbifolding, it is clear [14, 15] that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} = V_n \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is acted by $U_i = W_i \otimes \hat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1}$, where the matrices W_i satisfy $W_1 W_2 = W_2 W_1 \omega$, while the difference operators

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2^{-1} = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^{-1} e^{\theta - \frac{2\pi i}{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{V}}_2^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_1^{-1} e^{-2\pi i \theta_n}. \quad (30)$$

Generally W_i^α is represented by $Z_n \times Z_n$ matrix on trivial $su(n)$ bundle V_n , while $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_\alpha^{-1}$ is the translation of the origin of $\mathcal{T}$: $u = 0 \longrightarrow u = -\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau}{n}$, correspondingly, to shift the center of mass of n solitons $\bar{z}_c = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$ by $\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau}{n}$. If we restrict to consider the $\mathcal{H}_n = V_n$ on subtorus $\mathcal{T}_n$, then we may either realize the W_i^α in operator form i.e. in terms of $Z_n \times Z_n$ matrix (24) (26) or realize it in functional formalism i.e. in terms of difference operators (23) (25). These two forms is related by the Weyl Moyal transformation on torus. But it happens that the $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_\alpha$ ($\bar{z}_c \longrightarrow \bar{z}_c + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau}{n}$) yield a matrix transform on our basis function, so it is equivalent to the operator form of W_α . Thus for the total torus the W_α and $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_\alpha^{-1}$ cancels, $U_\alpha = W_\alpha \otimes \hat{\mathbf{V}}_\alpha^{-1}$ are commutative, as the (6.54) in [15].

The functional and the operator forms are related by Weyl Moyal transformations on torus (see Appendix B of [10]), functions v_a behaves as the coherent state functions on torus.

On the space $\mathcal{H}_n = \{v_a | a = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the *noncommutative algebra* $\mathcal{A}_n$ generated by W_1 and W_2 has been truncated and becomes a $n \times n$ dimensional unital C^* algebra with n^2 basis $W_1^{\alpha_1} W_2^{\alpha_2} = W^\alpha$ and the define relations

$$W^{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta\dagger} = 1, \quad W^{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha'\beta'} = W^{\alpha'\beta'} W^{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta' - \beta\alpha'} = W^{\alpha+\alpha', \beta+\beta'} \omega^{-\beta\alpha'}, \quad (W^{\alpha\beta})^n = 1. \quad (31)$$

Here and hereafter to represent in matrix (operator) form the basis W^{α_1, α_2} of $\mathcal{A}_n$ we introduce the usual $Z_n \times Z_n$ matrix $(I_\alpha)_{ab} = (I_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})_{ab} = \delta_{a+\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \omega^{b\alpha_2}$ [23]. This algebra in difference operator form is the Heisenberg group $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ $Z_n \times Z_n$ in ordinary θ function theory [23], including both the shift $\frac{\alpha\tau}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n}$ and the change of phases.

3.3 $su(n)$ algebra g on torus

It is shown [26] that the level l representation of the Lie algebra $sl_n(\mathcal{T})$ on the elliptic curve $\mathcal{T}$ can be written as following:

$$E_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1} \sigma_\alpha(0) \sum_j \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sigma_\alpha(z_{jk})}{\sigma_0(z_{jk})} \left[\frac{l}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma'_\alpha(z_{ji})}{\sigma_\alpha(z_{ji})} - \partial_j \right], \quad (32)$$

and

$$E_0 = - \sum_j \partial_j, \quad (33)$$

here $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Z_n \times Z_n$ and $\alpha \neq (0, 0) \equiv (n, n)$, $z_{jk} = z_j - z_k$, $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$. E_0 commutes with E_α , $sl_n(\mathcal{T})$ includes only the E_α with $\alpha \neq 0$. After a complicate calculation, we obtain the commutation relation:

$$[E_\alpha, E_\gamma] = (\omega^{-\alpha_2 \gamma_1} - \omega^{-\alpha_1 \gamma_2}) E_{\alpha+\gamma}, \quad (34)$$

or in usual $sl(n)$ with i, j label the Chan Paton indices basis, let

$$E_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} (I^\alpha)_{ij} E_\alpha, \quad (35)$$

then

$$[E_{jk}, E_{lm}] = E_{jm} \delta_{kl} - E_{lk} \delta_{jm}. \quad (36)$$

Remark: The representation (22) and the commutation rules (34) can also be obtained [26] from a quasiclassical limit from the representation of the $Z_n \times Z_n$ Sklyanin algebra [27]. Sklyanin and Takebe [28] give the elliptic $sl(2)$ by using double periodic Weierstrass functions. The high spin l representations is given also. In this paper, it is restricted to the $l = 1$ representation of $sl_n(\mathcal{T})$ by holomorphic sections on $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes n}/S^n$.

3.3.1 Automorphism of $E_\beta \in su_2(\mathcal{T})$ by noncommutative gauge transformation $W^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$

Since the Wilson loops W_1 and W_2 acting on the noncommutative covering torus $\mathcal{T}$ is to shift z_i to $(z_i + \frac{\tau}{n} - \delta_{in}\tau)$ and $(z_i + \frac{1}{n} - \delta_{in})$ respectively, so E_α in (22) will be changed into

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \cdot (E_\alpha(z_i)) &= W_1 E_\alpha(z_i) W_1^{-1} = E_\alpha(z_i + \frac{\tau}{n} - \delta_{in}\tau) = \omega^{-\alpha_2} E_\alpha(z_i), \\ W_2 \cdot (E_\alpha(z_i)) &= W_2 E_\alpha(z_i) W_2^{-1} = E_\alpha(z_i + \frac{1}{n} - \delta_{in}) = \omega^{\alpha_1} E_\alpha(z_i). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

or more generally

$$W^{\beta_1, \beta_2} \cdot E_\alpha = W^{\beta_1, \beta_2} E_\alpha (W^{\beta_1, \beta_2})^{-1} = \omega^{\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1} E_\alpha. \quad (38)$$

This could be compared with the matrix model on noncommutative torus [14], where

$$U_i X_j U_i^{-1} = X_j + \delta_{ij} 2\pi R_j$$

or more exactly with the covariant derivatives

$$U_i \nabla_j U_i^{-1} = \delta_{ij} \nabla_j$$

i. e.

$$E_\alpha \implies \exp(\frac{i\pi}{n} \nabla_1)^{\alpha_1} \exp(\frac{i\pi}{n} \nabla_2)^{\alpha_2}.$$

3.3.2 Isomorphism of $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ on $\mathcal{H}_n$

let $E_\alpha \in g$ to act on v_a , we find that

$$E_\alpha v_a = \sum_b (I_\alpha)_{ba} v_b. \quad (39)$$

As in section 3.2 we already learn that $W^\alpha v_a = \sum (I_\alpha)_{ba} v_b$, so on $\mathcal{H}_n$ we establish the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} su_n(\mathcal{T}) &\implies \mathcal{A} \\ E_\alpha &\longrightarrow W^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Obviously this is correspondent with the noncommutative plane case, where one have

$$i\epsilon_{ji} \partial_{x_i} F(x) \implies [x, *f(x)] \quad (41)$$

and acting on Fock space

$$\partial_z \longrightarrow a, \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \longrightarrow a^\dagger \quad (42)$$

Compare eq.(41) with eq.(38) and eq.(42) with (32) and (39), it is easy to see that the infinitesimal translations on plane $\mathbf{R}^2$ corresponds to $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{R}^2}$ corresponds to the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$. Meanwhile the adj operation for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{R}^2}$ (41) changes to the Adj operation (gauge transformation [24, 25]) for $\mathcal{A}_n$ (37).

3.3.3 $\hat{V}_i$ and E_α as generators of the Weyl reflection group

Kac [29] has shown that the θ function with characteristic $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Z_n \otimes Z_n$ transforms under affine Weyl reflections as the Heisenberg group generated by $\hat{V}_i$ (23), (25). As we have shown in (24), (26) and (39), both $\hat{V}_\alpha$ and E_α act on v_a as I_α , operators $\hat{V}_1$ and E_1 as the coxeter element I_1 , operators $\hat{V}_2$ and E_2 as the cyclic element I_2 ; operator $E_{ij} (i \neq j)$ permutes i, j i.e. gives the reflection $\hat{r}_{ij}$ reversing the root $e_i - e_j$.

Here, let us stress the relations of N.C. algebras $\mathcal{H}_n$, $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $su(n)$ with the solitons. Obviously, since in (22) each term F_α are symmetrical with respect to permutation S_n of the centers z_i of solitons, so the vectors v_α is defined on the module $\mathcal{T}_n^{\otimes n}/S_n$. The operator $\hat{V}_1$ shifts each soliton z_i from the i -th covering of $\mathcal{T}$ over $\mathcal{T}_n$ i.e. from the i -th brane to the next one, or equivalently changes each brane to the previous one. The operator $\hat{V}_2$ shifts the $U(1)^{\otimes n}$ phase of i -th brane by ω^i . The Chan Paton $su(n)$ of n branes is generated by E_{ij} . Acted on $\mathcal{H}_n$, both $\hat{V}_i$ and E_{ij} generate the Weyl reflection. The offdiagonal elements give permutations of the branes, the diagonal elements generates the phase shift of soliton wave functions.

3.3.4 Projection operators and soliton generating ABS operators

In the operator formalism, the projection operators becomes

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\beta} W^{0\beta} (I_\beta)_{ii} = P_i = \frac{|V_i\rangle\langle V_i|}{\langle V_i|V_i\rangle}, \quad (43)$$

and the ABS operators is simply

$$E_{10} \cong W_1 = \sum_a \frac{|V_{a+1}\rangle\langle V_a|}{(\langle V_{a+1}|V_{a+1}\rangle\langle V_a|V_a\rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (44)$$

The explicit functional expressions may be obtained by Weyl Moyal transformation adopted to the torus as described in the Appendix B of [10].

4 Affine algebra on N.C. torus, integrable elliptic Gaudin and Calogero Moser models [26]

Now we will show that the cotangent bundle for N.C. elliptic $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ (32) with twisted loop W_i realizes the elliptic Gaudin model on N.C. torus, which is related to critical level twisted $su(n)$ WZW i.e. A_{n-1} affine algebra on N.C. torus. Then use the elliptic Vandermonde determinant to gauge transform it into elliptic C.M. model which is the Hamiltonian reduction of the cotangent bundle for the algebra of the semidirect product of the Cartan torus and the Weyl group [30].

4.1 N.C. Elliptic Gaudin Model

The elliptic Gaudin model on commutative space [28] is defined by the transfer matrix (quantum lax operator):

$$L_{ij}(u) = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0,0)} w_\alpha(u) E_\alpha(I_\alpha)_{ij}, \quad (45)$$

where

$$w_\alpha(u) = \frac{\theta'(0)\sigma_\alpha(u)}{\sigma_\alpha(0)\sigma_0(u)}, \quad (46)$$

E_α and I_α are the generators of $su(n)$ (or $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ Weyl) and $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ respectively. Using the general defining relations of $su(n)$ (34) and $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ (31), we find that the commutators of L (quantum version of fundamental Poisson bracket):

$$[L^{(1)}(u_1), L^{(2)}(u_2)] = [r^{(1,2)}(u_1, u_2), L^{(1)}(u_1) \oplus L^{(2)}(u_2)], \quad (47)$$

where the classical Yang-Baxter matrix

$$r(u)_{i,j}^{k,l} = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0,0)} w_\alpha(u) (I_\alpha)_i^k \otimes (I_\alpha^{-1})_j^l \quad (48)$$

is antisymmetrical

$$r_{i,j}^{k,l}(u) = r_{j,i}^{l,k}(-u) \quad (49)$$

and satisfies the classical Yang-Baxter equation:

$$[r_{12}(u_{12}), r_{13}(u_{13})] + [r_{12}(u_{12}), r_{23}(u_{23})] + [r_{13}(u_{13}), r_{23}(u_{23})] = 0, \quad (50)$$

where $u_{ij} = u_i - u_j$. Thus this system is integrable. Indeed, we can find from the quantum determinant of L the complete set of Hamiltonian. Some examples may be found in [28, 31, 32]. It was obtained also as the nonrelativistic limit of the Ruijsenaars-Macdonald operators which will be described in next section. As a lattice model, the common eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of Gaudin model is solved in terms of Bethe ansatz [33], which has been expressed by the conformal blocks of the twisted WZW models on the torus [34, 35].

To relate these well known results about this usual Gaudin model with that on the fuzzy torus $\mathcal{T}_n$ (section 3), let us substitute the differential representation (32) of $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ E_α into the Gaudin L (45), then it turns to be in a factorized form [26]:

$$E_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq (0,0)} w_\alpha(u) E_\alpha(I_\alpha)_j^i = L(u)_j^i = \sum_k \phi(u, z)_k^i \phi^{-1}(u, z)_j^k \partial_u - l \sum_k \partial_u \phi(u, z)_k^i \phi^{-1}(u, z)_j^k, \quad (51)$$

where the factors are the vertex face intertwiner

$$\phi(u, z)_j^i = \theta_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{n}, \frac{1}{2}}(u + nz_j - \sum_{k=1}^n z_k + \frac{n-1}{2}, n\tau). \quad (52)$$

We can also show that (51) is a representation of L by substituting it directly into (47). Now the expression of r becomes

$$r_{i,j}^{l,k}(u) = \delta_{i+j}^{l+k} \left\{ (1 - \delta_i^l) \frac{\theta^0(0)' \theta^{i-j}(u)}{\theta^{l-j}(u) \theta^{i-l}(u)} + \delta_i^l \left(\frac{\theta^{i-j}(u)'}{\theta^{i-j}(u)} - \frac{\theta(u)'}{\theta(u)} \right) \right\}, \quad (53)$$

where $\theta^i(u) \equiv \theta_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{n}, \frac{1}{2}}(u, n\tau)$. It is easy to find that it is $Z_n \otimes Z_n$ symmetrical $r(u) = (I_\alpha \times I_\alpha) r(u) (I_\alpha^{-1} \otimes I_\alpha^{-1})$ and turns to be equal to (48), i.e. actually the factorized L_j^i (51) represent (47).

The intertwiner "factor"s (52), now intertwines the Chan Paton $su(n)$ index i for the brane (vertex model index) with the dynamical indices (face model indices) of dynamical soliton position z_j on the world sheet.

Feigin et. al [33] has established the relation between the critical level $su(n)$ WZW models and the rational Gaudin model, that is the $L(u)$ and $R(u)$ as (45) and (48), but instead of (46) now with a rational $w_\alpha^R(u) = \frac{1}{u}$, $u \in \mathbf{C}$. Kuroki and Takebe [32] find the same relation for the elliptic case, but with the WZW twisted, i.e. defined on a twisted bundle g^{tw} :

$$g^{tw} := (\mathbf{C} \times g) / \sim$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ are $(u, g) \sim (u+1, I_1 g I_1^{-1}) \sim (u+\tau, I_2 g I_2^{-1})$. Correspondingly the $w_\alpha(u)$ (46) is a meromorphic section of the twisted bundle with single pole at $u=0$ and residue 1. While wrapping around different one cycle on the base torus the global gauge transformation for sections in the fibre are noncommute, but the base torus itself has the complex conformal structures only, it has neither metric nor symplectic structure, thus remains to be commutative. For our N.C. torus we have both $z = y_1 + iy_2$ and $\bar{z} = y_1 - iy_2$ which are N.C., it causes both the global N.C. W_1, W_2 and the local N.C. $z, \bar{z}$.

For the usual Gaudin [28, 31] on a marked commutative torus, the z_i is the marked points, i.e. the poles in $L = \sum_i \sum_\alpha w_\alpha(u - z_i) E_\alpha I_\alpha$. The p_i is the conjugate momentum: classically $\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, quantumly $[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij}$. So, the L -matrix is endowed with dynamics, by finding Poisson (equivalently Konstant-Kirrilor) brackets at first, then by quantized the $p_i \sim -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$ to find the matrix differential form of L [26]. While now for the Gaudin on noncommutative torus, the z_i are the center (position) of the i -th soliton, ∂_i as its infinitesimal translation is equivalently to the $[z_i, \cdot]$. Here we should stress that the key point to translate the usual integrable models on commutative space to that of N.C. space is to notice that since in N.C. space $[\bar{z}_i, z_j] = \delta_{ij}$, so N.C. plane automatically become the symplectic manifold corresponding to the quantum phase space. $p_i \rightarrow \bar{z}_i$, $q_i \rightarrow z_i$ i.e in the holomorphic Fock Bargmann formalism $[\bar{z}, f]$ automatically becomes $\partial_z f$ just like $p \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$. Apparently the complex structure with given metric gives the symplectic structure, moreover it is automatically "quantized", as the geometrical quantization.

Let us show that the dynamical equation induced by N.C. this way really gives the interaction between N.C. solitons [10], by studying the potential of C.M. model.

4.2 Elliptic Calogero Moser model and its equivalence with elliptic Gaudin model

The elliptic C.M. model is defined by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} g \wp(z_{ij}), \quad (54)$$

where $\wp(z) = \partial^2 \sigma(z)$. This quadratic and other higher Hamiltonian are generated by the Krichver Lax matrix

$$L_{\text{Kr}}(u)_j^i = \partial_i \delta_j^i + (1 - \delta_j^i) \sqrt{g} \frac{\sigma(u + z_{ji})}{\sigma(u) \sigma(z_{ji})}. \quad (55)$$

By the Poisson transformation (classically $\partial_i \sim p_i$, $z_i \sim q_i$)

$$p_i \longrightarrow p_i - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \ln \Pi^{\frac{1}{n}}(q), \quad (56)$$

here

$$\Pi(q) \equiv \prod_{i < j} \sigma(q_{ij}), \quad \sqrt{g} = -\frac{l}{n} \sigma'(0), \quad (57)$$

and $L_{\text{Kr}}(u)_j^i$ becomes

$$L_{\text{CM}}(u)_j^i = (p_i - \frac{l}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \ln \Pi(q)) \delta_j^i - \frac{l}{n} \sigma'(0) (1 - \delta_j^i) \frac{\sigma(u + q_{ji})}{\sigma(u) \sigma(q_{ji})}. \quad (58)$$

This may be further gauge transformed into the factorized L (51) of Gaudin model by gauge transformation matrix

$$G(u; q)_j^i \equiv \frac{\phi(u; q)_i^i}{\prod_{l \neq j} \sigma(q_{jl})}. \quad (59)$$

It is well known that C.M. model gives the dynamics of a long distance interaction between n -bodies located at z_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Now we will show that it is the interaction between n -solitons on the fuzzy torus while z_i becomes the positions of the centers of each soliton. According to paper [10], for N.C. multisolitons the potential term is argued to be the Laplacian of a Kähler potential K , which is the logarithm of a Vandermonde determinant. Actually we have

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \wp(z; j) = \sum_i \partial_i^2 \log \prod_{j \neq k} \sigma(z_j - z_k) \equiv \sum_i \partial_i^2 K(u, z), \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{K(u, z)} &= \prod_{j \neq k} \sigma(z_j - z_k) \sigma(nu + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}) \\ &= \det(\phi_k^j) \equiv \sigma(nu + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}) \prod_{i \neq j} \sigma(z_{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The variable u of the marked torus is the so called spectral parameter or evaluation parameter of Lax matrix $L_{\text{Kr}}(u)_j^i$.

As [36], replacing the "spin" index i, j elements E_{ij} (35) in the Lax matrix $L_{\text{Kr}}(u)_j^i$ of the C.M. model by soliton exchange $z_i \leftrightarrow z_j$ permutation s_{ij} in $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ Weyl reflection, $L_{\text{Kr}}(u)_j^i$ becomes like the Dunkle operators [37]. This "spin" index exchange equivalence with particle (soliton) exchange is obtained if restricted to be acted on the space of wave functions which is totally symmetric in both spins and positions. This is the $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ Weyl symmetry for the $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ Chan Paton index of the branes and for the positions z_i, z_j of solitons.

Here the determinant of the vertex-face interaction is the determinant of our gauge transformation matrix from Gaudin to C.M. We should mention that its u independent factor $\prod(z)$ is the Weyl antiinvariant ground state wave function of C. M. It is the "phase functions" part of the conform block of the twisted WZW model on elliptic curve related to the Gaudin model [33, 34, 35]. Dividing by this phase the KZB equation of the elliptic Gaudin Model reduces [34, 35] to the heat equation associated to the elliptic C. M. equation.

5 The $Z_n \times Z_n$ Heisenberg group realized by the Sklyanin algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\tau, \eta}$ in case of general θ

In previous section, we have show the utility of elliptic Gaudin-Calogero model for the N.C. field, brane and QH fluid in case of rational θ , it is reasonable to further find some kind of N.C. solitons for the generic, i.e. deformed θ . Acturally, there is a lot of hints, that the Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) model, as the deformed version of C.M., describes some brane configurations. The elliptic RS and the infinitely related IRF etc. may be the hopeful candidate.

Firstly let us remind the physical meaning of unrational θ . If we fix the area of the base torus, but change the field $B \sim \frac{1}{\theta}$, such that the passed B flux quantum $\frac{\theta}{2\pi}$ ("normalized area") generally is not an integer, then the commutator $U_1 U_2 U_1^{-1} U_2^{-2} = e^{i\theta}$ becomes generic, unrestricted to the root of unity. This implies also that the ratio of the area for the Narain dauls $SL(2Z) \otimes SL(2Z)$ (modular for base $\mathcal{T}$, Morita for target) is generic, i.e. unrestricted to be rational. We conject that it is related to the characteristical parameter η of IRF model etc. i.e. we assume that $\theta \equiv \eta\tau \bmod \text{modular}$ (Morita) transformation.

Now instead of simply shift by $\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{\tau}{n}$ under the loop action W_i (23) and (25) or the $su(n)$ covariant differential operator E_α , we introduce the difference operator S_α [27, 38] as following

$$S_\alpha F = \sum_j S_\alpha^{(j)} F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j - \eta, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n), \quad (62)$$

where

$$S_\alpha^{(j)} = e^{\pi i \alpha^2} \sigma_\alpha(\eta) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{n \sigma_\alpha(n z_j - n z_k + l \eta)}{\sigma_0(n \eta) \sigma_0(n z_j - n z_k)} \quad (63)$$

Remark: The commutative relation of define S_α is given in [27] for $n = 2$ and [38] for general n . The difference representation of S_α is constructed and proved via Belevin's R -matrix by [27, 38] and then proved directly by [38] and [39]

Fundamental representation

Applying S_α with level $l = 1$ on $V_a \in \mathcal{H}_n$ (22), we get

$$S_\alpha V_a = \sum_b (I_\alpha)_{ab} V_b. \quad (64)$$

Actually, acting on $\mathcal{H}_n$, the fundamental representation of $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau, \eta}$ becomes the Heisenberg $Z_n \times Z_n$ matrix I_α . We prove this by three lemmas.

Lemma 1. The $S_0 F_0$ is independent of η ,

$$S_0 F_0 = F_0. \quad (65)$$

From (62), (63) and (22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(n\eta) S_0 F_0 &= n \sigma_0(\eta) \sum_j \left[\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sigma_0(nz_j - nz_k + \eta)}{\sigma_0(nz_j - nz_k)} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_0(nz_j - \sum_k z_k - (n-1)\eta) \prod_{l \neq j} \sigma_0(nz_l - \sum_k z_k + \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

As a function of η with given z_i we find that the right hand side (r.h.s) of (66) has n^2 zeros at $\eta = \frac{1}{n}(\alpha_1 \tau + \alpha_2)$.

In the quasiperiodic phase of the r.h.s under shift $\eta \longrightarrow \eta + \tau$, the η dependent part is $\exp(-2\pi i n^2 \eta)$, that is the r.h.s has exactly n^2 zeros as the function $\sigma_0(n\eta)$. Thus $S_0 F_0$ is independent of η . Take $\eta \rightarrow 0$ limit we find (65).

Lemma 2.

$$S_{00} F_\gamma = F_\gamma. \quad (67)$$

This can be obtained from lemma 1 by further applying Heisenberg shift $z_1 = z_1 - \frac{1}{n}(\gamma_1 \tau + \gamma_2)$ on lemma 1 i.e. on both side of (66) as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(\eta) \sum_j &\left[\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sigma_0(nz_j - nz_k + \eta)}{\sigma_0(nz_j - nz_k)} \right] \\ &\cdot \sigma_\gamma(nz_j - \sum_k z_k - (n-1)\eta) \prod_{l \neq j} \sigma_\gamma(nz_l - \sum_k z_k + \eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma_0(n\eta) \prod_j (nz_l - \sum_k z_k), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

or simply

$$S_{00} F_\gamma = F_\gamma. \quad (69)$$

In fact, it is independent of which z_i only that is shift to $z_i - \frac{1}{n}(\gamma_1\tau + \gamma_2)$. Since in the original and shifted F_α , the $nz_j - \sum z_k$ are weight vectors of $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$, all will be shifted by (γ_1, γ_2) in the coset (weight lattice)/(root lattice). While in S_{00} the root $n(z_j - z_k)$ with z_i (without z_i) changes (unchanges) by integer $(\gamma_1\tau + \gamma_2)$. So there appears only a phase shift for each σ_0 , which cancels in total. It is easy to see that this is equivalent to the Heisenberg transformations (23), (25) and (37) on $\mathcal{H}_n$ where we have shift all the z_i at the same time to the next coverings etc.

Lemma 3. Transform lemma 2 by

$$\eta \longrightarrow \eta + \frac{1}{n}(\alpha_1\tau + \alpha_2) \quad (70)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha F_{\gamma+\alpha} &= e^{2\pi i \alpha_2(\gamma_1+\alpha_1)/n} F_\gamma, \\ S_\alpha F_\beta &= \omega^{\alpha_2\beta_1} F_{\beta-\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Really substituting (70) into (67), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\alpha(\eta) &= \sum_j \left[\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sigma_\alpha(nz_j - nz_k + \eta)}{\sigma_0(nz_j - nz_k)} \right] \\ &\cdot \sigma_{\gamma+\alpha}(nz_j - \sum z_k - (n-1)\eta) \prod_{l \neq j} \sigma_{\gamma+\alpha}(nz_l - \sum z_k + \eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma_0(n\eta) e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha_2}{n}(\gamma_1+\alpha_1)} \prod_j \sigma_\gamma(nz_j - \sum z_k). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

For higher representation, the fussion rule $l = l_1 + l_2$ for S_α has been given in [40]. The representation space basis has been given by Z. X. Yang. The cyclic representation was given in [38]

Heisenberg automorphism of $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$

By shifting $\eta \longrightarrow \eta + \frac{1}{n}(\alpha_1\tau + \alpha_2)$ and $z_1 \longrightarrow z_1 - \frac{1}{n}(\alpha_1\tau + \alpha_2)$, from lemma 1 and lemma 2 it is easy to check that there is an automorphism:

$$S_\beta \longrightarrow S'_\beta = S_{\beta+\alpha} \omega^{\alpha_2\beta_2+\beta_1\beta_2}, \quad F_\gamma \longrightarrow F'_\gamma = \omega^{\alpha_2\gamma_1} F_\gamma \quad (73)$$

which satisfy

$$S'_\beta V'_a = \sum_b (I_\beta)_{ab} V'_b. \quad (74)$$

Remark: The automorphism for commutation rule of S_α and similar change in basis for general level l representations have been given in the paper [40]

$\mathcal{A}_n$ and $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ vs. $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$, $su_n(\mathcal{T}) \sim \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$

Let $\eta \rightarrow 0$, the S_α (62), (63) in $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$ degenerates into the E_α (32) and (33) in $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ in the first order of η , $S_\alpha \longrightarrow E_\alpha$, ($\alpha \neq 0, 0$) while $S_{0,0} \longrightarrow E_{00}$ is the zeros order.

The $su_n(\mathcal{T})$ is an ordinary Lie algebra with highest weight representation. But defining relations of $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$ are quadratic, no linear sum of S_α lies on the center of $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\tau,\eta}$ has no highest weight representation.

The S_α is gauge transformed by the $Z_n \times Z_n$ $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ shifts of z_i among coverings, and meantime itself transforms nonabelliantly from S_α to $S_{\alpha+\beta}$ by the $G_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$ shift $\eta \longrightarrow \eta + \frac{1}{n}(\beta_1 + \beta_2\tau)$. The S_α implicitly intertwine with the $Z_n \times Z_n$ symmetries. For example, in $(I^{\alpha\beta})_{ij}$, i, j are the indices of the solitons, α, β are the indices of branes (coverings). Thus the affine Weyl reflections consist of the reflections of solitons (in the center mass system) and the truncation by a period of $\mathcal{T}_n$ into another covering. So the operators $S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$ interchange the wave functions from brane α_1 to α_2 . The change of the strength B of the fields; i.e. the strength η by $\frac{\beta_1+\beta_2\tau}{n}$ induces the $su(n)$ gauge transformation of the operators S_α to $S_{\alpha+\beta}$.

The $Z_n \otimes Z_n$ Belavin model

Let

$$L^B(u)_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}(u)(I_{\alpha})_{ij}S_{\alpha}, \quad (75)$$

where $W_{\alpha}(u) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}(u+\eta)}{\sigma_{\alpha}(\eta)}$. Then

$$R_{12}^B(u_1 - u_2)L_1(u_1)L_2(u_2) = L_2(u_2)L_1(u_1)R_{12}^B(u_1 - u_2), \quad (76)$$

where $R^B(u)_{ik}^{jl} = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}(I_{\alpha})_i^j (I_{\alpha}^{-1})_k^l$ is the Belavin $Z_n \times Z_n$ vertex model. Let $\eta \rightarrow 0$, then $R^B \rightarrow R^G$. Furthermore following [41] using the same gauge transformation as we did from $L^G \rightarrow L^{rmCM}$, one can obtain the Ruijsenaars operator $\mathcal{H}_n$ as the u independent part, this is very important to understand the dynamics of soliton. And the $\det|L^B(u) - k|$ will give the Ruijsenaars $\mathcal{H}_n$ at the n -th power of k : $\det|L^B(u) - k| = 0$ gives the spectral curve. All this will be related to the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\eta}$ and to be discussed in the next section. And its relation to the N.C. field theory such as the N.C. CS and gauged WZW will be investigated in the future.

6 Elliptic quantum group and IRF model

To define the *elliptic quantum group* $E_{\tau,\eta}(sl_2)$, we start from a $n \times n$ matrix $R(u, \lambda) \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$, where V is the element in representation space of $sl(n)$ and λ a weight vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in h^*$.

$$R(u, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,i} \otimes E_{i,i} + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha(u, \lambda_{ij}) E_{i,i} \otimes E_{j,j} + \sum_{i \neq j} \beta(u, \lambda_{ij}) E_{i,j} \otimes E_{j,i}, \quad (77)$$

where $E_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_k = \delta_{j,k} \mathbf{e}_i$, $\mathbf{e}_k = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, here 1 is at the k -th place, and

$$\alpha(u, \lambda) = \frac{\theta(u)\theta(\lambda + \eta)}{\theta(u - \eta)\theta(\lambda)}, \quad \beta(u, \lambda) = \frac{\theta(u + \lambda)\theta(\eta)}{\theta(u - \eta)\theta(\lambda)}.$$

It satisfies the dynamical YBE:

$$\begin{aligned} & R(u_1, u_2, \lambda - \eta h^{(3)})^{12} R(u_1, \lambda)^{13} R(u_2, \lambda - \eta h^{(1)})^{23} \\ & = R(u_2, \lambda)^{23} R(u_1, \lambda - \eta h^{(2)})^{13} R(u_1 - u_2, \lambda)^{12} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where $R(u, \lambda - \eta h^{(3)})^{12}$ acts on a tensor $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$ as $R(u, \lambda - \eta \mu) \otimes Id$ if v_3 has weight μ .

The elliptic Quantum group $E_{\tau, \eta}(sl_n)$ is an algebra generated by a meromorphic function of a variable h and the matrix elements of a matrix $L(z, \lambda) \in End(\tilde{V})$ with noncommutative entries, subject to the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} & R(u_1 - u_2, \lambda - \eta h^{(3)})^{12} L(u_1, \lambda)^{13} L(u_2, \lambda - \eta h^{(1)})^{23} \\ & = L(u_2, \lambda)^{23} L(u_1, \lambda - \eta h^{(2)})^{13} R(u_1 - u_2, \lambda)^{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

On the other hand, there is the well-known *interaction-round-a-face (IRF) model*. We denote by $W(a, b, c, d, u)$ its Boltzmann weight for a state configuration $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ round a face with $a, b, c, d \in h^*$ which is the weight space of the Lie algebra sl_n . The only nonvanishing Boltzmann weights [42] can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} W(m, m - \mathbf{e}_j, m - 2\mathbf{e}_j, m - \mathbf{e}_j, u) &= \frac{\theta(u + \eta')}{\theta(\eta')}, \\ W(m, m - \mathbf{e}_j, m - \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_k, m - \mathbf{e}_j, u) &= \frac{\theta(u + \eta' m_{jk})}{\theta(\eta' m_{jk})}, \quad (j \neq k) \\ W(m, m - \mathbf{e}_j, m - \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_k, m - \mathbf{e}_k, u) &= \frac{\theta(u) \theta(\eta' m_{jk} - \eta')}{\theta(\eta') \theta(\eta' m_{jk})} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where $\eta' = -\eta$, $m_{jk} = m_j - m_k$. They satisfy the YBE:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_g W(a, b, c, g, u_1 - u_2) W(g, c, d, e, u_1) W(a, g, e, f, u_2) \\ & = \sum_g W(b, c, d, g, u_2) W(a, b, g, f, u_1) W(f, g, d, e, u_1 - u_2). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

The R -operator as $n \times n$ matrix in auxiliary spaces becomes the Boltzmann weight of the IRF model $W(a, b, c, d, z)$:

$$\frac{\theta(u - \eta)}{-\theta(\eta)} R(u, \eta m) \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_k = \sum_{i, l} W(m, m - \mathbf{e}_j, m - \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_k, m - \mathbf{e}_i, u) \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_l, \quad (82)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_l = \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_k$. Thus, eq. (79) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j, j'} W(a, b, c, d, u_1 - u_2) L^{(1)}(u_1, \lambda)_k^j L^{(2)}(u_2, \lambda - k\eta)_{k'}^{j'} \\ & = \sum_{i_1, j_1} L^{(2)}(u_2, \lambda)_{j_1}^{i_1} L^{(1)}(u_1, \lambda - j_1\eta)_{i_1}^l W(a', b', c', d', u_1 - u_2), \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where

$$\eta a = \lambda - \eta h^{(3)} = \lambda - \eta \mu, \quad \eta a' = \lambda, \quad \eta' = -\eta, \quad (84)$$

$$a - b = \mathbf{e}_j, \quad b - c = \mathbf{e}_{j'}, \quad a - d = \mathbf{e}_{l'}, \quad d - c = \mathbf{e}_l, \quad (85)$$

$$a' - b' = \mathbf{e}_k, \quad b' - c' = \mathbf{e}_{k'}, \quad a' - d' = \mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \quad d' - c' = \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \quad (86)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{j'} = \mathbf{e}_l + \mathbf{e}_{l'}, \quad \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_{k'} = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{j_1}. \quad (87)$$

We will drop the superscripts 3 of the quantum spaces later.

Compare (81) and (83), using explicite (80), it is easy to give an evaluation representation of the elliptic quantum group

$$L(u, \lambda)_k^j = \frac{\sigma_0(u + \frac{\xi}{n} - \eta\delta - \eta a_{kj} - \frac{n-1}{2})}{\sigma_0(u - \eta\delta - \frac{n-1}{2})} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma_0(-\frac{\xi}{n} + \eta a_{ki})}{\sigma_0(\eta a_{ji})} \quad (88)$$

which can be factorized as [43]

$$L^{(1)}(u, \lambda) = \sum_i \bar{\phi}(u)_{a-\mathbf{e}_j}^{ai} \phi(u + \xi)_{a'-\mathbf{e}_k}^{a'i}, \quad (89)$$

where

$$\phi_b^{ai}(u) = \begin{cases} \theta_b^{ai}(u + n\lambda_k - \sum \lambda_j, n\tau), & a - b = \mathbf{e}_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i$, $a = \eta^{-1}(\lambda - \eta h)$.

The evaluation Verma module $V_\Lambda(u)$ of $E_{\tau, \eta}(sl_n)$ is acted by the $L(u, \lambda)_k^j$ with $\xi = \Lambda$, and a $f(h)$,

$$f(h)L_k^j = L_k^j f(h - (j - k)).$$

The basis $\mathbf{e}_k$ of $V_\Lambda(u)$ satisfies

$$f(h)\mathbf{e}_k = f(\Lambda - k)\mathbf{e}_k, \quad (k \geq 0); \quad L_k^j \mathbf{e}_0 = 0, \quad (j < k).$$

If $\Lambda + 1 = n + \frac{\alpha + \beta\tau}{\eta}$, then the vector $\mathbf{e}_0$ is the highest one in V_Λ , the subspace of $V_\Lambda(u)$ spanned by $\mathbf{e}_k$, ($k > n - 1$) is a submodule. The quotient space $L_\Lambda(u)$ is a module of dim n .

Following [21], for an $E_{\tau, \eta}(sl_n)$ module V , let $F_{un}(V)$ be the space of meromorphic functions of λ with values in V and 1 periodic, $F(\lambda + 1) = F(\lambda)$. Introduce indomorphism $f(\tilde{h})$, $\tilde{L}_k^j(w) \in \text{End}(F_{un}(V))$ by the rule

$$(f(\tilde{h})F)(\lambda) = f(h)F(\lambda), \quad (90)$$

and the difference matrix operators

$$(\tilde{L}_k^j(w)F)(\lambda) = L_k^j(w)F(\lambda - (j - k)\eta). \quad (91)$$

This defines a difference matrix operator algebra $A(V)$ on V .

Factorizations of vertex model and IRF model

Substituting the $S_\alpha(z)$ (63) into the Belavin's $L^B(u)_i^j$ (75), we find [38] it can be factorized as $\sum_a \bar{\phi}(u + \xi, z)_i^a \phi(u, z)_a^j e^{i\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}}$. Thus, the intertwiner in (89) $\phi_a^i \sim \phi_{\lambda - \mathbf{e}_a}^{\lambda_i}$ intertwines the Heisenberg shift of $\frac{\tau}{n} i$ in $\theta \left[\begin{smallmatrix} i \\ n \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ for the i -th brane and the change of $\eta \lambda_a$ (ηz_a) by η times unit weight vector $(z_a - \frac{1}{n} \sum_k z_k) \eta$ for the a -th soliton.

The Heisenberg shift corresponds to the W_α matrix for wrap on torus $\mathcal{T}$, while the later correspond to the difference of λ ($\sim z$) in sections of bundle $\mathcal{L}$.

Transfer matrix and Ruijsenaars operators

The *transfer matrix* is a difference operator acting on the space $F(V[0])$ of meromorphic functions of $\lambda \in h^*$ with values in zero weight space $V[0]$ of the quantum space (h module) V . It is defined by the formula

$$T(u)f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{ii}(u, \lambda) f(\lambda - \eta h). \quad (92)$$

On the otherhand, as shown by Hasegawa by expanding the normal ordering $\det |L^B(u) - t|$ [41], one obtain the *Ruijsenaars Macdonald operator* M

$$M = \sum_i^N \prod_{j: j \neq i} \frac{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + l\eta)}{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} T_i, \quad (93)$$

and the other higher Hamiltonian.

The Ruijsenaars operators (93) are integrable difference operators that give a q -deformation of the Calogero-Moser integrable differential operators (58), inversely Calogero-Moser is the $\eta \rightarrow 0$ limit of Ruijsenaars-Schneider.

Let us as [44] consider the transfer matrix associated to the symmetric power $S^m V$. The zero weight space of this module is trivial unless m is a multiple of n . If $m = nl$ then the zero weight space is one-dimensional and is spanned by the sum of the tensors $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{nl}}$ over all sequences (i_j) such that each number between 1 and n occurs precisely l times. Let us denote this sum by e .

Let us as [45] identify $S^{nl}(C^N)[0]$ using the basis e , and let $T(z)$ be the transfer matrix associated to $S^{nl}V(0)$. Then

$$T(u) = \frac{\theta(u - \eta l)}{\theta(u - \eta nl)} M \quad (94)$$

which is the same as from [43] by choosing the parameters δ, ξ appropriately.

Let us consider the $l = 1$ case, the $\lambda_i \sim z_i$ is the position of our solitons. All the M and other higher Hamiltonian depends only on z_{ij} , and independent of neither spectral u nor the center $\sum z_i$. So the long distance interactions, the dynamics of solitons are given by the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider models.

Bethe Ansatz for the Hilbert space of non-commutative torus

Now we turn to find the common eigenvectors of the transfer matrix and the Macdonald operator M (93). It is easy to check that the vector v_a (22) in finite dimensional space V_n are eigenvectors of M [39]. But the vector space V_n as the trivial $U(n)$ bundle [15] transformed only by $I^{\alpha\beta}$ matrix, representing the W_i , independent of the twist η .

The noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\eta(n)$ for the twisted case should be acted on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\eta(n) \sim \mathcal{L} \otimes V_n$ constituted by vector-valued functions rather than on finite dimensional vector space V_n . The contribution of paper [21] on the algebraic Bethe ansatz for the elliptic quantum group is just to use transfer matrices acted on spaces $\mathcal{H}_n$ of vector-valued functions.

For the module [46] $L_\Lambda(u) (\equiv \mathcal{H}_n)$ (we restricted this irre. case), the transfer matrices $T(u)$ preserves the space $F_{un}(\mathcal{H})[0]$ of functions with values in the zero-weight space $\mathcal{H}[0]$ and commute pair wise on it. The highest weight vector of $\mathcal{H}$ is a $v \in F_{un}(\mathcal{H})(\Lambda)$ such that $L_j^i(u)v = 0$ ($j > i$), and $F_{un}(\mathcal{H})$ is spanned by the vector of the form

$$L_{i_1}^{j_1}(t_1) \cdots L_{i_m}^{j_m}(t_m)v \quad (95)$$

with all $j_m > i_m$.

Its eigenfunction is similar to that for the elliptic Gaudin. Now the Hermite Bethe parameters satisfy the so called nested Bethe ansatz equation [47, 48]

$$e^{\zeta_\alpha \eta} \prod_{l=1}^{m_\alpha} \frac{\theta(t_j^{(\alpha+1)} - t_l^{(\alpha)} - \eta)}{\theta(t_j^{(\alpha+1)} - t_j^{(\alpha)})} = \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{m_{\alpha+1}} \frac{\theta(t_j^{(\alpha+1)} - t_k^{(\alpha+1)} - \eta)}{\theta(t_j^{(\alpha+1)} - t_k^{(\alpha+1)} + \eta)} \prod_{l=1}^{m_{\alpha+2}} \frac{\theta(t_l^{(\alpha+2)} - t_j^{(\alpha+1)} - \eta)}{\theta(t_l^{(\alpha+2)} - t_j^{(\alpha+1)})}. \quad (96)$$

The Bethe ansatz equation (BAE) (96) is the condition of $t_1, \dots, t_m$ and $v = g(\lambda)e_0$, so that (95) is an eigenvector. The BAE is determined by the vanishing of the unwanted terms [49]. When the diagonal $L_k^k(w)$ in $T(w)$ move through the lowering $L_j^i(t)$ to v , the contribution of different diagonal L should be cancelled.

The noncommutativity causes the shift of λ_i by η . So besides the usual B.A. condition for t_i and λ_i , we following [21] (cf. their proof of Lemma 3 for detail), find that as the $l = 1$ case

$$g(\lambda) = \prod_i e^{\zeta_i \lambda_i} \prod_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\theta(\lambda_j - \lambda_k + \eta)}{\theta(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}. \quad (97)$$

Check carefully for the vanishing of unwanted terms, the second factor of $g(\lambda)$ ensures that the first λ dependent factor of the V_n matrices L_i^i and L_j^j (88) scattered through L_j^i be cancelled. Meanwhile the difference action on $\mathcal{L}(u)$ of L_i^i and L_j^j shift the $e^{a\lambda}$ factor differently, with a phase shift $e^{\zeta\eta}$, which is independent of λ . This independence of η is sufficient to ensure the usual B.A. eq. for different t_i . But meanwhile the wave function will have the form [21]

$$\psi = \prod_i e^{\zeta_i \lambda_i} \prod_{j=1}^n \theta(\lambda_i + t_j - \eta), \quad (98)$$

which will be twisted by $\zeta_i \eta$ when λ_i changed by the difference action of noncommutative Wilson loop U_1, U_2 i.e. by diagonal L_i^i and nondiagonal L_k^j respectively.

In case of XYZ or equivalently the $sl(2)$ IRF, in the Gaudin limit [28], the parameter $\zeta_j \equiv 2\pi\nu_j$, ($0 \leq \nu_j \leq \frac{1}{2\pi i \eta}$) is related to the twist in the boundary actions [49]. It describes the twist of the wave functions [50, 51]

$$\psi_\nu = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{2\pi i \nu \eta} \psi_{\nu+2a\eta}. \quad (99)$$

To ensure the convergence of the series in case η equals some rational time of $\frac{l+m\tau}{n}$, choose $\nu = \frac{Z}{n}$, then the Fourier series truncated to finite n terms [50], and we will obtain cyclic representation as describe in [38].

For the η general case, the zero-weight condition restricted the number m of $L_{j_i}^{k_i}(t_i)$, which will be the same as zero-weight space nl , (in our case $l = 1$). In case $n = 2$, this implies the number of lattice N should be 2 ($n = 2$) times the number of operators L_j^k (their B_j^i). The above are arguments in usual algebraic B.A. on vector space V_n without the difference operator on $\mathcal{L}(u)$, which we turn now. Now the finiteness of the dimension is the truncation of the Verma module to its quotient space [46].

As our discussion for QHE, we choose ζ_i to be an integer A , (we have normarized A to be 1, i.e. case $l = 1$), this will give us the wanted noncommutativity. Actually this is just the contribution of difference operatoin when U_1 like L_i^i, L_{i+1}^{i+1} commute with U_2 like L_{i+1}^i .

7 Discussion

There is a lot to be investigated later, e.g.: How to use the Weyl Moyal transformation to give the explicit functional expressions of the soliton solution i.e., the projection and ABS operators; how the affine Weyl reflections imply for the duality and Morita equivalence. It is easy to find ADE orbifold and BC orientfold case for Gaudin and C.M. models.

For the $\eta \neq 0$ case, the N.C. q KZB and q Virasoro should be considered. The N.C. R.S. as C.M. can be obtained as Hitchin systems from the cotangent bundles on N.C. torus.

Thus in anticipation for the application in N.C. models, we collecte some known elliptic algebra in the second part of this paper.

The Sklyanin algebra is the deformed $su_n(\mathcal{T})$. (The differential operator is deformed into difference of η). The Lax pair and R -matrix of $Z_n \otimes Z_n$ Belavin is the deformed L -matrix and r -matrix of elliptic Gaudin. The cotangent bundle on torus for algebra will be deformed into that for group [52]. The C.M will be deformed into RS related with IRF and the quantum group.

The corresponding field are the gauge WZW and CS [53], the N.C. case has been studied recently by [54].

We will investigate more carefully N.C. aspect of the integrable many-body and gauge field [55] and string brane [56] later. The dynamical variables $z_i (\sim \lambda_i)$ and ∂_i in exponents will be the z_i and $\bar{z}_i (\sim \partial_i)$ for N.C. soliton. The N.C. source now equals the $U_1 U_2 U_1^{-1} U_2^{-1}$ as the affine Heisenberg Double [57] and the N.C. Wilson loop for the RS reduced form CS [54].

References

- [1] J. A. Harvey, *Komaba Lectures on Noncommutative Solitons and D-Branes* **hep-th/0102076**.
- [2] M. R. Douglas, N. A. Nekrasov, *Noncommutative Field Theory*, **hep-th/0106048**.
- [3] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, A. Strominger, **hep-th/0003160**, JHEP 0005:020(2000).
- [4] E. Witten, *Noncommutative Tachyons and String Field Theory*, **hep-th/0006071**.
- [5] J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus, F. Larsen, **hep-th/0010060**, JHEP 0012:024(2000).
- [6] I. Bars, H. Kajiura, Y. Matsuo, T. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. D **63**(2001)086001, **hep-th/0010101**.
- [7] E. M. Sahraoui, E. H. Saidi, *Solitons on Compact And Noncompact Spaces in Large Noncommutativity*, **hep-th/0012259**.
- [8] E. J. Martinec, G. Moore, *Noncommutative Solitons on Orbifolds*, **hep-th/0101199**.
- [9] F. P. Boca, Comm. Math. Phys. **202**(1999), 325.
- [10] R. Gopakumar, M. Headrick, M. Spradlin, *On Noncommutative Multi-solitons*, **hep-th/0103256**.
- [11] T. Krajewski, M. Schnabl, *Exact Solitons on Noncommutative Tori*, **hep-th/0104090**.
- [12] H. Kajiura, Y. Matsuo, T. Takayanagi, **hep-th/0104143**, JHEP 0106:041(2001).
- [13] I. Bars, *Nonperturbative Effects of Extreme Localization in Noncommutative Geometry*, **hep-th/0109132**.
- [14] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, **hep-th/9711162**, JHEP 9802:003(1998).
- [15] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, **hep-th/9908142**, JHEP 9909(1999)032.
- [16] M. Rieffel, Pacific J. Math. **93** (1981) 415.
- [17] A. Konechny, A. Schwarz, *Introduction to matrix theory and noncommutative geometry*, **hep-th/0012145** and **hep-th/0107251**.
- [18] L. Dabrowski, T. Krajewski, G. Landi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **14** (2000) 2367.
- [19] H. Bacry, A. Crossman, J. Zak, Phys. Rev. **B12**(1975) 1118.
- [20] J. Zak, in Solid State Physics, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1972), Vol. 27.

- [21] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, Nucl. Phys. B **480**(1996) 485.
- [22] A. M. Perelomor, *Generalized Coherent State and Their Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [23] D. Mumford, Tata lectures, on Theta I. Basel-Boston: Birkhäuser 1982.
- [24] J. Ambjorn, Y.M. Makeenko, J. Nishimura, R. J. Szabo, **hep-th/0004147**, JHEP 0005(2000)023.
- [25] D. Bigatti, *Gauge Theory on the Fuzzy Sphere*, **hep-th/0109018**,
- [26] K. Chen, H. Fan, B. Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, W. L. Yang, R. H. Yue, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. 135(1999)149.
- [27] E. K. Sklyanin, Funct. Annal. Appl. **16**(1982)263, *ibid.* **17**(1983)273.
- [28] E. K. Sklyanin, T. Takebe, Phys. Lett. **A219**(1996)217.
- [29] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [30] J. C. Hurtubise, E. Markman, math/9912191.
- [31] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Hitchin Systems, Higher Gaudin Operators and r-matrices*, **alg-geom/9503010**.
- [32] G. Kuroki, T. Takebe, Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1997) 1.
- [33] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1994) 27.
- [34] G. Felder, C. Wierczkowski, Comm. Math. Phys. **176** (1996) 133.
- [35] G. Kuroki, T. Takebe, *Bosonization and integral representation of solutions of the Kniznik-Zamolodchikov equation*, **math.QA/9809157**.
- [36] A. J. Bordner, E. Corrigan, R. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **102** (1999) 499.
- [37] V. M. Buchstaber, G. Felder, A. V. Veselov, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994) 885.
- [38] B. Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, W. L. Yang, J. Phys. **A26**(1993)4591.
- [39] B. Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, W. L. Yang, Phys. Lett. **A178**(1993)73.
- [40] B. Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, W. L. Yang, Z. X. Yang, NWU-IMP-93-0210, Inter. J. Mod. Phys. **12**(1997) 2927.
- [41] K. Hasegawa, *Ruijsenaars' Commuting Difference Operators as Commuting Transfer Matrices*, **q-alg/9512029**.

- [42] Quano Y-H, *Sklyanin Algebra and Solvable Lattice Models*, Workshop on New Aspects of Quantum Field Theory (University of Tokyo, February 1992) (1992) Preprint UT-613.
- [43] F. Z. Yang, Bo-Yuan Hou, D. Mi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) L267.
- [44] P. I. Etingof and A. A. Kirillov, Jr., Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), 179.
- [45] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *Elliptic Quantum Groups and Ruijsenaars Models*, **q-alg/9704005**.
- [46] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *On Representations of the Quantum Group $E_{\tau,\eta}(sl_2)$* , **q-alg/9601003**.
- [47] B. Y. Hou, M. L. Yan, Y. K. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. B **324** (1989) 715.
- [48] K. Kikami, Y. Komori, Eur. Phys. J. B **5** (1998) 583.
- [49] E. K. Sklyanin, J. Sov. Math. **47** (1989) 2473.
- [50] L. A. Takhtadzhan, L. D. Faddeev, Russ. Math. Surv. **34** (1979) 11.
- [51] E. K. Sklyanin, T. Takebe, *Separation of Variables in the Elliptic Gaudin Model*, **solv-int/9807008**.
- [52] G. E. Arutyanyan, L. O. Chekhov, S. A. Frolov, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998) 405.
- [53] A. Gorsky, N. Nekrasov, Nucl. Phys. B **414** (1994) 213, **hep-th/9401021**.
- [54] A. Gorsky, I. I. Kogan, C. Korthals-Altes, *Dualities in Quantum Hall System and Noncommutative Chern-Simons Theory*, JHEP 0201 (2002) 002, **hep-th/0111013**.
- [55] A. Gorsky, A. Mironov, **hep-th/0011197**.
- [56] A. Marshakov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and integrable models*, World Scientific, (1999).
- [57] G. E. Arutyanyan, S. A. Frolov, F. B. Medvedev, J. Phys. A (1997)505, **hep-th/9607170**; J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 5682, **hep-th/9608013**.